	Case 2:07-cv-00013-RSM Document 20 File	d 04/05/07 Page 1 of 2	
01 02 03	02		
04	04		
05 06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
07	07 TIMOTHY McCAMEY, ) CASE NO	O. C07-0013-RSM-MAT	
08			
09	09 v. ) ORDER	RE: MOTION FOR	
10	10 SNOHOMISH COUNTY CORRECTIONS, )	ORDERED ACTION	
11 12	Defendants.		
13	Plaintiff Timothy McCamey proceeds <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> in this 42 U.S.C. §		
14	1983 civil rights action. (Dkt. 6.) Currently pending before the Court is plaintiff's Motion for		
15	Court Ordered Action. (Dkt. 11.) Plaintiff asserts a denial of access to Snohomish County Jail's		
16	law library due to alleged computer malfunctioning. He requests that the Court order Snohomish		
17	County to repair the computer, to obtain a back-up computer, and to provide him with a		
18	disposable "flex pen" for use in his cell. Defendants oppose plaintiff's motion. (Dkts. 15-18.)		
19	Because the only claim raised in plaintiff's complaint pertains to an incident in which he		
20	alleges he was sprayed with pepper spray or mace ( see Dkt. 6 at 3), it is questionable whether		
21	plaintiff's motion is properly before the Court. However, the Court construes plaintiff's motion		
22	22 as related to his underlying claim in asserting an impact on h	as related to his underlying claim in asserting an impact on his ability to litigate. Nonetheless, in	
	ORDER RE: MOTION FOR COURT ORDERED ACTION PAGE -1		

so doing, the Court agrees with defendant that plaintiff's motion is now moot.

Defendant indicates that, because the Snohomish County Jail law library computer broke, inmates went without access for a total period of twenty-two days, but that plaintiff has had 04 private access to the law library computer for twelve hours a week since March 9, 2007. (See 05 Dkts. 15-17.) Defendant further indicates that plaintiff is permitted to keep a pencil in his cell and 06 has access to pens while in the law library. (Dkt. 18.) These facts obviate the relief sought in plaintiff's motion.1

For the reason described above, plaintiff's Motion for Court Ordered Action (Dkt. 11) is hereby DENIED. The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff, to counsel for defendant, and to the Hon. Ricardo S. Martinez.

DATED this 5th day of April, 2007.

United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER RE: MOTION FOR COURT ORDERED ACTION PAGE -2

22

21

01

02

03

08

09

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because the Court finds this motion moot, it declines to address the additional arguments raised in defendant's response.